LOS ANGELES TIMES

APR 26 1964.

amor Kaised er Secret

Argument Unsettled in Decade

BY DAVID KRASLOW

WASHINGTON

It "almost chills the marrow of a man to hear! about" the clandestine activities of Central Intelligence Agency operatives, Sen. Richard Russell

Chilled marrow or not, many people feel Congress ought to hear a lot more rest of the government's vast intelligence apparatus are doing.

They feel Congress has crets. done a dangerously inadequate job of auditing

It is an old argument that

has been revived in hearings before the House rules committee on bills sponsored by 20 congressmen to establish a joint Senate-House watchdog committee over the CIA.

CIA subcommittees have functioned in the House an auditing and Senate for years. But now doing, proponents of the joint Allege committee idea say the sory, and far too informal for overseeing such a vital part of the United States government.

Need For Secrecy

They do not question the, need for secrecy, but they gress should be kept so largely ignorant something more about the be kept so largely ignorant Sen. Richard Russell insist that a joint commit-(D-Ga.) told the Senate in tee, staffed by full-time professionals and adhering know all that the CIA did to formal procedures, can do a much more effective: job of supervision.

They point to the work of about what the CIA and the the joint committee on atomic energy, which rides herd on the Atomic Energy Commission and has not yet compromised any of its se-

But an agency that has alleys—in the never-never intelligence agencies: the agency that operates in land of spies, U-2 flights, victim of secrecy for se- Lindsays obviously do not cretary of State Dean Rusk tats — is, of course, a dif-crecy's sake. Things are share the view expressed cretary of State Dean Rusk tats — is, of course, a dir-done to us and in our name by Sch. Leverett Saltonstall once put it, all over the ferent animal than the which we know nothing (R-Mass.) in 1960, after the AEC.

There is a basic problem of. seems no closer to resolu- in dealing with the highlytion today than it did a sensitive and vexatious decade or more ago. But it issue of congressional supervision of the CIA.

The CIA is so secret, and the work done by the present CIA subcommittees is so secret, that it is ex-tremely difficult for outsiders to make an informed judgment on how effective an auditing job Congress is

Alleged Blunders

These outsiders include present system is lax, cur- the great majority in Congress. And that's the rub.

Many of these outsiders in Congress read of alleged lity to provide for the CIA blunders in Laos and Vietnam and at the Bay of oversee the operation of the Pigs and wonder if Congress shouldn't be doing CIA. They don't really or is doing, and they wonder if they would know.

Some members of Congress are certain they should in order to fulfill their constitutional responsibility of overseeing the executive branch.

Last August, Rep. John V. Lindsay (R-N.Y.) told the House during a discusjurisdiction over the back sion of the CIA and other

Sen. Eugene McCarthy (D-Minn.), who has been sponsoring joint committee, bills for some 10 years, told the Senate last year:

". . . as espionage and counterespionage have become more pervasive and their techniques more subtle, the need for secrecy has, if anything increased.

"Nevertheless, I do not think it right that the Congress, which has the constitutional responsibicommon defense and to executive agencies which of what is going on in the back alleys.

And this year McCarthy wrote that the CIA "has taken on the character of an invisible government answering only to itself. The CIA must be made accountable for its activities, not only to the President but also to Congress through a responsible committee."

Saltonstall's View

U-2 was shot down over the Soviet Union.

Continued



"We might obtain information which I personally would rather not switched not only from the have," Saltonstall said in "outs" to the "ins" but also have," Saltonstall said in warning the Senate not to investigate the CIA too intensely.

It is probably an oversimplification to suggest nedy discussed at a press that the explanation for the opposing viewpoints lies in the fact that the Russells and Saltonstalls are "in" while the Mc-Carthys and Lindsays are "out."

The CIA, a large bureaucracy which spends great sums and has extra- ident's foreign intelligence ordinary authority, swers to very few members

of Congress. Its budget and number of employees are secret.

- Russell and Saltonstall! are in that select group because of their membership on the CIA subcommittee of the Senate armed services committee. Russell is chairman and Saltonstall the ranking Republican member.

. They and an apparent majority on Capitol Hill feel the present system

once, in 1956, the joint ing the ClA." committee issue was put to Russells and Saltonstalls won, 59 to 27.

jority leader, Lyndon B. trol. Johnson. The junior senator from Massachusetts, John F. Kennedy, voted for a joint committee.

'National Pastime'

When Mr. Kennedy took charge of the CIA as President, his views that the CIA "is probably changed.

conference the present congressional watchdog system. He also noted that: the President receives the counsel of a civilian advisory committee comprised of persons with expertise in the intelligence field.

advisory board, is an outgrowth of a Hoover commission recommendation in 1955.

"I am well satisfied with the present arrangement, Mr. Kennedy said.

Rep. Leslie Arends of IIlinois, the ranking Republican on a House CIA subcommittee, and Sen. Thomas J. Dodd (D-Conn.), who has had some dealings with the CIA in the Schate internal security subcommittee, both took the floor this year to denounce what of auditing the CIA is satis- Dodd called the "popular Mactory." national pastime" of "bait-

.The two staunch supa test in the Senate. The porters of the CIA said the agency operates efficiently and always in accordance Voting with Russell was with persidential policy the then Democratic ma- and under presidential con-

Some Rules Urged

As to the charge that the CIA operates without adequate congressional supervision, Dodd insisted one of the most supervised agencies in the government." One wonders how he can be so certain.

Dodd pointed out that even if a joint committee is created, those congressmen who complain they do not know what the CIA is doing "would still find they know. precious little about it."

He said the same rules of secreey that now apply to the CIA sub-committees would apply to the joint committee:

Whether the joint committee could do a more effective job than the subcommittees. Dodd said, is a parently is no. It has pri-"purely mechanical quest vately told the Senate tion . . . of third-rate im- foreign relations committee portance."

There is no question that the Bay of Pigs invasion of manner in which Congress the sake of argument that CIA personnel were largely responsible for the debacle, mittee, as it has done in the would a full briefing to a joint committee in advance of the invasion have made any difference in the outcome? 35 to the design of the come?

CIA's Answer

Would a change in form z-from the subcommittees to a standing joint committee—significantly affect the substance?

The CIA's answer apit believes the present system is satisfactory.

But it also says that the Cuba in 1961 was incredibly organizes itself to assure mishandled. Assuming for adequate information on CIA activities is a matter for Congress to decide.

The House rules compast, is sure to pigeonhole the joint committee proposal.

But while a bill can be pigeonholed, an issue cannot. And the kinds of questions raised by the Mc-Carthys and Lindsays cannot-and should notbe brushed aside lightly. Not in a Democratic socie-

ty: